

Harry Clark  
130 Old Highway  
Wilton, CT 06897

WPCA  
Town Hall  
238 Danbury Road  
Wilton, CT 06897

3/9/22

Members of the WPCA,

You have received an “8-24 referral” with regards to a proposed sewer extension to the property located at 19 Cannon Road. I ask that you deny the application.

The scale, density, and form of the proposed development to be served by this extension is not in compliance with the 2019 Plan Of Conservation And Development, and as such, should not be a candidate for sewer line extension. While the core of Cannondale could benefit from slightly increased density, there are particular, specific recommendations and goals in the Plan that are not adhered to by the applicant. In addition, the Plan has not yet solidified exact boundaries, form, or scale of the Cannondale district, so any new project, especially a large-scale project, is premature.

**Page 39-40 Goal 4: *Preserve Wilton's Rural Character, Historic Resources and Cultural Landscapes.*** Mentioned specifically is the Cannondale National Historic District. The scale, design, and form of the project does not adhere to this goal. A single, monolithic building of this size violates all three of the goals.

**Page 49 Goal 1.1: *Increase the Availability of Multi-Family Housing and Smaller Housing Units.*** This section sets very specific guidelines and goals that limit large scale building like the one proposed to Town Center and Danbury Road, south of Cannon Road. “Abutting transition areas” such as 19 Cannon Road, which clearly transitions from busy commercial Danbury Road to the single family neighborhood in Cannondale, are specifically called out as areas of opportunity for “smaller, mixed use” buildings, and “smaller single family homes”.

**Page 68-70:** (Cannon Road as a transition point) Cannon Road straddles two zones as outlined in the Plan; neither one specifies large, multi-story buildings (more appropriate on Danbury Road and in Wilton Center, as outlined in the Plan) Instead, the two zones outline “commercial, residential, and mixed-use development that emphasizes transit-oriented development, community, and village design character and connections to Wilton Center.” “Evaluate form-based zoning approaches” for the zone south of Cannon Road, and “Maintain existing low-density, rural development patterns, except in approaches to and within the Georgetown node... enhance the utility and clarity of Adaptive Use regulations that are often used in this area... enable commercial and residential development at limited densities that can be supported by on-site infrastructure” for the zone north of Cannon Road.

**Page 70 Goal 3: *Strengthen the economic viability of the Cannondale area while protecting its unique design and historical character.*** The proposal clearly does neither of these things. The

“economic viability” of Cannondale is an ill-defined goal, and certainly the style and scale of the building is neither unique nor historical.

**Page 91 Goal 7.1: *Ensure water and sewer policies reflect land-use goals.*** The sizing of the sewer extension is out of scale with the lower densities laid out in the Plan, and will serve to encourage more large-scale developments on adjacent lots, in direct contradiction to the Plan.

**Page 95: *The Cannondale Node-*** “The Town should conduct a Master Planning process to properly define the extent of the Cannondale Node. Once this is done, the Town should align its land-use policies to encourage mixed-use, residential, and commercial development of an appropriate scale and design that is transit-oriented in nature, given access to Cannondale Train Station... densities should be lower than the Greater Wilton Center area and should align with the gradual decrease in density north of Cannon Road.” This survey, and resultant recommendations, have not yet been completed, so no large development should be approved until the Plan is updated.

First and foremost, you must consider if adding a sewer line extension will result in development that aligns with the 2019 Plan Of Conservation And Development. I contend that, at this stage, it most certainly does not.

This project is egregiously out of scale with the rest of the Cannondale neighborhood, and ignores many, if not all, of the recommendations of future development in Cannondale set forth in the Plan Of Conservation And Development. I disagree vehemently with the March 7<sup>th</sup> letter from Landtech that claims sewer extension is consistent with the Plan, on pages 100-101. The Plan merely mentions that the town should consider such extensions, not that such extensions are encouraged or “by right”. Since the rest of the plan is so out of scale with the neighborhood, and the Plan is conveniently disregarded in all other aspects of the proposal, it strikes me as merely a convenient excuse that the developer wishes to utilize. Likewise, their inclusion of the 2011 proposal to bring sewer lines into the Village center is irrelevant. In that case, the owner of the property wished to build smaller, detached town homes, fewer in number and scope than the proposal at 19 Cannon Road.

I ask that you deny the application.

Sincerely,

Harry Clark