WILTON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS - RESIDENTIAL VARIANCE APPLICATION - ZBA#

Please consult the Zoning Regulations when applying for a variance, particularly Section 29-13.

Please TYPE or print clearly... (See last 2 pages for brief explanation.)

	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY AND POST OF TAXABLE PARTY.	
Richard S. & Jisaporn S. Ziegles APPLICANT'S NAME	20 Fullin Ly.	Wilton, CT 06897
Richard S. & Jiraporn S. Ziegler OWNER'S NAME	20 Fullin Ln. ADDRESS	Wilton, CT 06897
20 Fullin (n. Witton, CT 06897 PROPERTY LOCATION	R-Z ZONING DISTRICT	
1228-4 789 348 WLR MAP# VOLUME PAGE	109 11 TAX MAP# LOT#	ACREAGE
VARIANCE DESCRIPTION: In the space below, please state concisely the section(s) of the Zoning Regulations proposed to be varied and the specific variance requested (i.e.: Request a variance of Section 29—to allow (an addition, a pool, average lot width, or whatever) with in lieu of the required for a building addition that encroaches into the required fifty foot rear setback area by 7 feet would read as follows: "Request a variance of Section 29-5.D to allow a building addition with a 43 foot rear yard setback in lieu of the required 50 feet." ATTACH SEPARATE SHEETS AS REQUIRED. Request a Variance of Section 29-5.D to allow a 2nd floor addition over existing non-conforming single family dwelling plus a new Staircase in the required 50 feet." Attain (ase in 35 (4f) proposed, 50 required. Garage is attached to the house but the additional form would be appared from the main house. HARDSHIP DESCRIPTION: In the space below, state the specific conditions pertaining to the perceived "exceptional difficulty or unusual hardship" with respect to the parcel of land, not generally encountered within the zoning district, which would make development in full accordance with the existing Zoning Regulations extremely difficult. ATTACH SEPARATE SHEETS AS REQUIRED. Property is never and house is non-conforming. Adding an addition out from the garage of the rear of the house would be too close to the existing property line. Building an addition on top of the garage would be no close to the property line. Building an addition on top of the garage would be no close to the property line. Building an addition on top of the garage would be no close to the property line. Building an addition on top of the garage would be no close to the property line than already a except for the minimal stancase leading up		
othe addition in the rear.	V	J